

1  
2                   UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
3                   EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
4

5                   UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,  
6

No. 2:15-CR-144-SMJ-6

7                   Plaintiff,  
8

ORDER DENYING WITH LEAVE  
9                   vs.  
10                  TO RENEW MOTION TO TRAVEL  
11                  JOSHUA M. TERPSTRA,  
12                  Defendant.

13                  Before the Court is Defendant's Motion to Allow Work-Related Travel, ECF  
14                  No. 383. In his Declaration, defense counsel states the United States has no  
15                  objection to Pretrial Services authorizing travel for work-related purposes, and that  
16                  Defendant's supervising Pretrial Services Officer "agrees with the request."

17                  Defendant's Motion, **ECF No. 383**, is **DENIED with leave to renew**. In  
18                  Defendant's renewed motion, he should give the name of the current employer or  
19                  whether he is self-employed, the nature of the work Defendant performs, and some  
20                  indication of the scope of the request. Information regarding the frequency of  
21                  travel, the duration of travel, the travel destination(s), and how far in advance the  
22                  travel is scheduled would assist the Court in making an informed ruling.

23                  **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

24                  DATED May 18, 2016.



A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "M".

25                  JOHN T. RODGERS  
26                  UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE  
27  
28